

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS AUSTIN

GROVER SELLERS ATTORNEY GENERAL

Henorable R. A. Barton County Attorney Calhoun County Port Lavaca, Texas

Dear Sir:

Opinion No. 0-6817

Re: Whether the City of Port Lavaca, Texas, is authorized to use seavall funds derived from a tax remission for the purpose of replacing, railing, and strengthening the inside bulkheads of the retaining vall, when the retaining vall is on the inside of the Muaicial harpor.

We have received your request for our opinion on the hereinabove-captioned matter, and we quote from your letter as follows:

"Since the recent tropical hurricane, the City of Port Lavaca is laced with the necessity of restoring and rebuilding a section of the existing seawall and breakwater protecting the City's property.

"In view of the fact that your Department has already made certain relings with regard to proposed use of the revenues derived from the Tax Remission Act of 1941, the city Council has requested a ruling from your Department before the proposed repairs are made or any expenditure is authorized out of the Seavall Fund.

"In order that the question may be made clear to the the Department, I am enclosing a sketch showing the location of the proposed repairs.

"Some twenty years ago, the City extended the North end of the existing seawall by the construction of a 14 foot bulkhead out to the mouth of Lynn's Bayou-the outer surface was faced with limestone riprap. Behind this fourteen foot wall, the city, with the

Honorable R. A. Barton, page 2

aid of Federal Funds, dredged out a sterm harbor as a terminus of the Intercoastal Canal. The harbor is owned entirely by the City.

During the recent storm, the water reached a height of over 15½ feet, passing completely over the 14 feet seavall and into the basin. The action of the water, and storm tossed ships and wreckage, eroded partly acress the seavall and undermined the retaining wall or bulkhead on the back side.

"While the retaining wall is on the inside of the Municipal Marbor, our City engineer states that it is necessary to replace, raise, and strengthen the inside bulkheads in order to support the eutside seavall.

"Under this fact situation, will it be legal for the City to use Seawall Funds derived from a tax remission for the purpose of repairing, raising, and strengthening the inside section of the seawall, even though the same also constitutes the inside bulkhead of the Municipal Harbor?"

Section 7, Article 11, of the Texas Constitution reads as follows:

"All counties and cities bordering on the coast of the Gulf of Mexice are hereby authorized upon a vote of two thirds of the taxpayers therein (to be ascertained as may be provided by law) to levy and collect such tax for construction of sea walls, breakwaters, or sanitary purposes as may be authorized by law, and may create a debt for such works and issue bonds in evidence thereof. But no debt for any purpose shall ever be incurred in any manner by any city or county unless providiem is made at the time of creating the same, for levying and collecting a sufficient tax to pay the interest thereon and provide at least two per cent as a sinking fund; and the condemnation of the right of vay for the erection of such works shall be fully previded for."

Section 8, Article 11, of the Texas Constitution reads as follows:

"The counties and cities on the Gulf Coast being subject to calamitous overflews, and a very large

Monorable R. A. Barton, page 3

proportion of the general revenue being derived from these etherwise prespersus localities. The Legislature is especially authorized to aid by denation of such pertien of the public domain as may be deemed proper, and in such mode as may be previded by law, the construction of sea walls, or breakvaters, such aid to be prepertioned to the extent and value of the works constructed, or to be constructed, in any locality.

House Bill No. 7, Chapter 485, page 780, Acts of the Forty-seventh Legislature, Regular Session, 1941, reads as follows:

"Section 1. It is found and declared that the City of Pert Lavaca is a city situated on the Gulf Coast of the State of Texas and is subject to calamitous everflews and that a very large preportion of the general revenues of the State is derived from this otherwise presperous locality.

Section 2. For a period of twenty (20) years, commencing on September 1, 1941, following the passage of this Act, there is hereby denated and granted by the State of Texas to the City of Port Lavaca, Calhoun County, Texas, all of the not amount of all State ad valerom taxes levied and collected for State general purposes, on all property, real and personal, including the relling stock belonging to reilroad companies (which shall be ascertained and apportioned as now provided by law) in Calhoun County. Said memory when received by the City of Port Lavaca shall be used by such City as an agency of the State of Texas, in the manner provided in this Act, for the construction, repair, and improvement of sea walls, breakwaters, and harbors.

sesser and Collecter of Taxes of Calhoun County shall make an itemised report under eath to the Comptroller of Public Accounts of the State of Texas, on forms to be furnished by the Comptroller, showing the amount of all ad valorem taxes collected by him for State general purposes upon real and personal property within the County of Calhoun, including relling stock of reilroad companies as hereinabove provided; and he shall accompany the same with an itemised statement showing full

Monorable R. A. Barton, page 4

disposition of all such taxes collected. The said Assessor and Gollecter of Taxes shall ferward his report to the Comptroller, and shall make a like report to the City Treasurer of the City of Port Lavaca, and he shall pay ever to the City Treasurer of the City of Port Lavaca all of the moneys collected by him from State ad valorem taxes levied for general purposes during said menth, less such amounts as are allowed by law for assessing and collecting same. On the eccasion of each such remittance the City Treasurer shall execute a receipt in duplicate showing the amount of mency thus received, forwarding one executed copy to the Tax Assessor and Collector of Calhoun County and the other executed copy to the Comptroller of Public Accounts of the State of Texas.

"Section 4. The City of Port Lavaca, acting by and through its governing body to accomplish such State purposes, shall have authority and is hereby authorized to issue its negotiable bonds secured by a pledge of the tax moneys denated and granted by the State of Texas and said governing body in its discretion may secure such bonds additionally by a pledge of its own taxing power, and the proceeds of the sale of such bonds may be used for the purpose of constructing, repairing, and impreving sea valls, breakvaters, and harbers to protect said locality from such calamitous everflows; provided that no new construction or improvement shall be made or contracted for in the bay on the east side of the present sea wall unless or until all privately owned lands, leases, and easements between said proposed structure and the present sea wall shall have been secured by the City of Port Lavaca by purchase, gift, condemnation, or otherwise.

Section 5. Bonds issued by the City of Port Lavaca under authority of this Act shall be governed by the General Lavs of the State of Texas applicable to bonds issued by cities and towns, including the provisions of Titles 22 and 28 of the Revised Civil Statutes of 1925 and Amendments thereto, and if the bonds are to be secured by a pledge of the taxing power of the City of Port Lavaca the proposition submitted in the election for the issuance of said bonds shall centain a reference to the fact that such tax is authorized. The bonds

secrable R. A. Barten, page 5

issued by the City for such purposes shall not exceed an amount or amounts which could be serviced both as to principal and interest with such denated taxes hased on the latest approved assessed value of property, including the value of relling stock apportioned to such County, in Calhoun County, at the time such City proposes to issue said bonds, and based on the average tax rate levied by the State of Texas for General Fund purposes during the ten (10) years preceding the year in which said bonds are to be issued. After bonds shall have been voted originally under this Act subsequent issues may be voted as required. Bonds of an issue may be delivered at one time or from time to time as and when the money is needed for such purposes. The meney received by the City from such denated taxes shall be used to pay the principal and interest of said bonds and shall not be diverted to any other purpose.

"Section 6. To the extent that money may be accumulated in the sinking fund from such State donated taxes in excess of current requirements for principal and interest and to provide such reserve as may be prescribed in the ordinance or ordinances authorizing the issuance of said bonds, it may be invested in accerdance with the General Law applicable to cities. The use and diversion of meneys herein granted for any purpose ether than the payment of interest and principal on the bonds voted herounder or invested in accordance with applicable laws is hereby prohibited and the violation of this section shall constitute a misapplication of mublic money and the person er persons so effending shall be punished as previded for in Article 86 of the Penal Code of the State of Texas.

"Section 7. The fact that this Act is designed to protect a locality situated on the Gulf Coast from calamiteus everflevs, and the fact that a very large prepertien of the general revenue of the State is derived from such etherwise prosperous locality create an emergency and imperative public necessity that the Constitutional Rule requiring bills to be read in each Heuse on three separate days be suspended, and said Rule is hereby suspended, and that this Act become effective immediately from and after its passage, and it is so enacted."

Menorable R. A. Barton, page 6

In our opinion No. 0-4117, addressed to you, we held as follows:

"We are, therefore, of the opinion that House Bill 7, supra, wherein it seeks to remit taxes to construct seawalls or breakvaters is not violative of the Constitution of Texas, but that it is unconstitutional insofar as it seeks to remit taxes to construct harbors. We further held that the striking of the word 'harbors' from the bill does not invalidate the balance thereof."

In compliance with the provisions of House Bill No. 7, the City of Port Lavaca issued City of Port Lavaca Seawall and Breakwater Bends in 1941, and the City Commission made the following statement in the election order, notice of election, and ordinance authorizing the issuance of bends:

"For the purpose of constructing, repairing, and improving seavalls and breakwaters to protect the City of Fort Lavaca from the continuing and re-occurring calamitous everflows, which improvements are, in the epinion of the City Commission, essential to adequate protection of said city."

In the case of the First Mational Bank of Fort Arthur v. City of Fort Arthur et al, 35 S. W. (2d) 258, the Beaument Court of Civil Appeals said:

"Counsel for appelless, in their brief, call our attention to a number of general rules of construction pertaining to constitutional provisions. One of these rules is that referred to by our Supreme Court in Walker v. Meyers, 114 Tex. 225, 266 S. W. 499. The general rule there referred to is that contemperaneous and practical construction of constitutional provisions by the Legislature in the enactment of laws should have great weight and give rise to a natural presumption that the legislative construction rightly interprets the meaning of the provision. In connection with this general rule, counsel for appellee in their brief direct our attention to the several acts at different times of the Texas Legislature granting aid to Gulf Coast cities under section 8, article 11, of the Constitution. One of these is the act granting aid to the city of Galveston shortly after the destructive gulf hurricane in 1900.

Lenorable R. A. Barton, page 7

Another is the act granting aid to the city of Corpus Christi; enother is the act granting aid to the city of Freepert; another is the act granting aid to the gity of Rockport; another is the act granting aid to the city of Port Lavaca; another is the act granting aid to the city of Aransas Pass. In this connection counsel contend, and undertake to sustain the contention, that the Legislature in each of the instances above stated gave a broad and liberal construction to section 8 of article 11 of the Constitution and that the Legislature in these instances did not construe that section to limit state aid to Gulf Coast cities for the construction of sea walls and breakwaters, that is, to those physical structures themselves, but construed section 8 in a broad way so as to give aid to those Gulf Coast cities in the construction of works not actually a part of a sea wall or breakwater. We shall not dwell upon this suggestion of counsel, though we are impressed with the ferce of this suggestion and the argument in connection. Other general rules of interpretation and construction may be said to be the following:

- "1. The intention of the makers of the Constitution will be ascertained, and when that intention is so ascertained, whether expressed in plain language or not, such intent becomes as much a part of the law as if it had been expressed in plain and unequivocal terms. This was the rule announced by our Supreme Court in Mills County v. Lampasas County, 90 Tex. 606, 40 S. W. 403.
- "2. Legislation, organic or statutory, must be reasonably construed and in a manner not repugnant to common sense. This rule is announced in Queen Insurance Co. v. State, 86 Tex. 250, 24 S. W. 397, 22 L.R.A. 483, and in St. Louis S. W. Railway Co. of Texas v. Tod, 94 Tex. 632, 64 S. W. 778.
- "3. A public grant for a public advantage should be liberally construed in an endeavor to accomplish the purpose of the grant. This rule was announced in Aransas County v. Coleman-Fulton Pasture Co., 108 Tex. 216, 191 8. W. 553.
- "4. In construing a law it will be presumed that the creators of same are familiar with the conditions to be relieved against and the condition of the county to which the act is applicable. Winona & St. P. R. Co. v. Barney, 113 U.S. 625, 5 S. Ct. 606, 28 L. Ed. 1109.

Monerable R. A. Barton, page 8

- *5. If possible, that construction will be adopted which will premote the public interests in accord with sound economic policy. This rule was referred to in State v. DeGress, 72 Tex. 242, 11 S. W. 1029.
- *6. In the construction of Constitutions, as well as statutes, the powers necessary to the exercise of power clearly granted will be implied. This rule was referred to in Texas Cent. R. Co. v. Bowman, 97 Tex. 417, 79 8. W. 295.
- 7. Where a general power is conferred, every particular power necessary for the exercise of same is also conferred, whether expressly granted or not. This is the rule laid down in Coeley's Constitutional Limitations (8th Ed.) vol. 1, page 138.

"In addition to the above general rules of interpretation, we think that the rule announced by our Supreme Court, through Chief Justice Phillips, in Aransas County et al. v. Coleman-Fulten Pasture Company, 108 Tex. 216, 191 S. W. 553, 554, where section 52 of article 3 of our Constitution was under construction, is the rule of greatest application to the facts in this case. It is as follows:

"The spirit, purpose and scope of the particular provision are all to be consulted in the effort to determine with certainty the meaning of its terms."

"Applying that rule in this case, we have no hesitancy in concluding that the expenditure by the city
of Port Arthur of its bond money above mentioned for
the work done by the Central Construction Company in
constructing the Stilvell Storm Drain was not prohibited and would not be in violation of section 8,
article 11, of the Constitution. The spirit and the
purpose that actuated the framers of that article was
mainly the protection of the lives and property of
people in cities situated on the Gulf Coast and always exposed to danger and hazards of the sea. Protection to those people and their property, we say,
was the main and controlling thought, and in addition
to that, and as incidental to that, was the benefit
that would redound and accrue to the people of the
whole state of Texas by protecting such of its citizens

manerable R. A. Barton, page 9

as live in the exposed cities. It was known that many Texas counties and cities were so situated upon the Gulf Coast as to be constantly exposed to the ravages and destruction of gulf hurricanes, and the purpose of the framers of the article was to give pretection, as far as pessible through human skill and agency, to the lives and preperty of our citizens exposed to such hazards.

"We think that the trial court, under the evidence in this case, was correct in finding and concluding that the construction of the Stilvell Storm Drain, as contemplated, was an essential and necessary and component part of the sea wall project at Port Arthur, and that therefore the Stilvell Storm Drain when completed will be a part of the sea wall in the sense in which that term is used in section 8, article 11, of the Constitution.

In view of the helding in the abeve-cited case, it is apparent that the answer to the questien submitted by you is dependent upon the determination as to whether the contemplated construction is an essential, necessary, and compenent part of the seavall project. When's as an engineering fact, it is necessary to replace, raise, and strengthen the inside bulkheads of the retaining wall in order to support the outside seavall, it is our opinion, based on the foregoing authorities, that such construction would constitute "an essential and necessary and component part of the seavall project" at Port Lavaca, Texas, and that your question should be answered in the affirmative.

SEP 15 1945

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

Very truly yours

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

By



